

March Court ... 1726 ---- 261 ---

If Alexander Wardie  
a } for Plaintiff & Risi  
Joseph Rodgers - } for next term agreed

Memorandum. The last action was entered and agreed  
on the before docket for March Court and dated 1726

Maryland s. This is to certify whence it may concern that this day to wit the 3<sup>d</sup> of May 1727 before  
me Lewis Gale one of the Provincial Justices of Maryland personally appeared the defendant Mr.  
James Robertson and took the several Oaths to the Government appointed by Act of Assembly and  
also Subscribed the Oath of Abjuration and Set in witness whereof I have hereunto set my hand.  
and Seal this day and year above

Lewis Gale Seal

dy. Thomas Burnall Sonnerell s. John Burnall late of Somerset County Planter was  
attainted to answer unto Thomas Burnall of ye same place upon his  
In Burnall vs Burnall Case No. 2 And whereupon the same Thomas by Lewis Gale his attorney  
complains that whereas the 2d of June the tenth day of May anno Domini One thousand and Seven hundred  
and twenty Six at Somerset County within the Jurisdiction of this Court was indebted unto the  
said Thomas in the sum of thirty pounds for a sum to him at his special instance and request  
by the said Thomas before that time Sols and Delivered and so heretofore being indebted the  
same John in Consideration whereof upon himself Apunred and to the same Thomas he and  
here faithfully promised that he when afterward he should be thereto Requested he the  
aid sum of thirty pounds (and) money unto the same Thomas would well and faithfully Content  
and pay Nevertheless the said John his promise and assumption as in form affixed  
regarding and plotting and fraudulently Intending the said Thomas in that part (as fully)  
and Subtly to defraud and knowe before the aid sum of thirty pounds or any part thereof  
unto the same Thomas hath not paid or paid for it in any wise Contented altho' the said John the  
same to do afterward to wit the same day and year as at the County aforesaid and within the aid  
Jurisdiction was thereto Requested but the same John the same Refused to do both altogether  
Refused and still doth refuse to the damage of the said Thomas Sixty pounds and  
more and hereof he bring this Suite No. 2 Shal be paid pldge & if Default he

And whereupon the aforesaid John Burnall in his own proper person Cometh and defendeth  
the force and injury wherein and saith that he cannot gainsay the action of the aforesaid Thomas, nor but  
that he did assume upon himself in manner and form as the aforesaid Thomas above against him hath  
complained, neither can he say but that the said Thomas hath sustained damage by occasion of  
the Non performance of his promise and assumption aforesaid to thirty pounds first money and the  
same declaration is to be had before Properly Confesseth e<sup>o</sup>

Therefore it is Considered by the Court that the aforesaid Thomas Burnall Recover